



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,256	06/27/2001	Ake R. Lindahl	28069-531	1609
35437 7590 05/14/2008 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO ATTN: PATENT INTAKE CUSTOMER NO. 35437 ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111				
EXAMINER PAK, JOHN D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/14/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/891,256	LINDAHL, AKE R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John Pak	1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) John Pak.

(2) Erica Carlson.

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

It was noted that independent claims 1 and 25 did not actually recite a step of adding hydrogen peroxide. Corrective amendment was discussed. Final step to provide the stabilized composition was also discussed for said independent claims. Claims 9 and 31 were discussed as being improperly dependent. Rewriting to avoid "desired" was discussed for several claims. Claims 17 and 39: "the" should be deleted before "lipids." Claims 24 and 46: delete "about" before the numbers. Ms. Carlson informed the Examiner that applicant would not agree to these changes, including the deletion of the "about."